



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
08/736, 016	10/22/96	ROSTON	G CYB-01302/03

21M1/0702

EXAMINER

JOHN G POSA
GIFFORD KRASS GROH SPRINKLE PATMORE
ANDERSON & CITKOWSKI
280 N WOODWARD AVENUE SUITE 400
BIRMINGHAM MI 48009

RO, B

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2107	3

DATE MAILED: 07/02/97

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

APPLICANTS

FILE

Office Action Summary

Application No.
08/736,016Applicant(s)
Boston et al

Examiner

Bentsu Ro

Group Art Unit

2107

 Responsive to communication(s) filed on _____. This action is FINAL. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.

A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire 6 month(s), or thirty days, whichever is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of time may be obtained under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Disposition of Claims

 Claim(s) 1 - 33 is/are pending in the application.

Of the above, claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

 Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. Claim(s) _____ is/are rejected. Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. Claims 1 - 33 are subject to restriction or election requirement.

Application Papers

 See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948. The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are objected to by the Examiner. The proposed drawing correction, filed on _____ is approved disapproved. The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d). All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been received. received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) _____. received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

*Certified copies not received: _____.

 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).

Attachment(s)

 Notice of References Cited, PTO-892 Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). _____ Interview Summary, PTO-413 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948 Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152

--- SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES ---

RESTRICTION / ELECTION

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

Group I. Claims 1-24, drawn to force feedback device (and a method for a physical haptic device), classified in Class 318, subclass 566.

Group II. Claims 25-33, drawn to momentum sphere (used in a gimbal device), classified in Class 318, subclass 648.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions force feedback device and momentum sphere are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations. (M.P.E.P. § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because a force feedback device (or a physical haptic device) does not necessarily require a momentum sphere and the momentum sphere can be used in a spacecraft for direction guidance. Thus the subcombination has separate utility such as spacecraft guidance.

2. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group II is not required for Group I, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

5. Applicant is advised that the response to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed.

Serial Number: 08/736,016
Art Unit: 2107

-3-

6. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a diligently-filed petition under 37 C.F.R. § 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(h).

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bentsu Ro whose telephone number is (703) 308-3656.

Ro,
July 1, 1997

Bentsu Ro
BENTSU RO
PRIMARY EXAMINER
ART UNIT 217